

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(110)

CWP-19043-2020

Date of Decision : 10.11.2020

MEENAKSHI

....Petitioner

Versus

HARYANA STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION

.....Respondent

(through video conferencing)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

(keeping in view advance copy given)

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has wrongly been ignored in respect of the selection for the post of Junior System Engineer, which was advertised by the respondents vide Advertisement No. 11/2019. Learned counsel submits that according to the petitioner, she should have scored 84 marks and though, the respondents were under obligation to publish the marks of the other candidates called for scrutiny, while conducting the selection, the same has not been done, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, who appears through video conferencing, keeping in view the advance copy given, submits that the last candidate, who has been called for scrutiny of the documents, has scored 87

marks, whereas the petitioner has scored 84 marks, therefore, the petitioner has not been called for.

Faced with the said answer, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not press this writ petition any further.

Writ petition is disposed of having been not pressed.

November 10, 2020
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No