

Date of Decision : 10.11.2020

1. COCP No.2464 of 2020

Balwinder Kumar and another ...Petitioners

Versus

Jai Inder SinghRespondent

2. COCP No.2465 of 2020

Anita Arora ...Petitioner

Versus

Jai Inder SinghRespondent

3. COCP No.2466 of 2020

Balkar Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

Jai Inder SinghRespondent

4. COCP No.2467 of 2020

Rajiv Malhotra ...Petitioner

Versus

Jai Inder SinghRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia**Present : Mr. Harit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.**

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video

2. This order shall dispose of COCP Nos. 2464 of 2020, 2465 of 2020, 2466 of 2020 and 2467 of 2020 as identical point is in issue in all the cases. However, facts of the case have been taken from COCP No. 2464 of 2020.

3. Prayer in the petition under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is for initiating action against the respondents for willfully and deliberately disobeying order (Annexure P-1) dated 26.03.2019 in CWP No.20411 of 2017.

4. Learned counsel states that vide order dated 26.03.2019, CWP No.20411 of 2017 case titled as Balwinder Kumar and another vs. State of Punjab and another, was disposed of by passing the following order :

“(13) Resultantly, the present writ petition is liable to be allowed. The respondents shall pay statutory interest @ 9% for the delay for first year and 15% for the subsequent period which is ranging from 06.07.2011 to 30.10.2015. The needful shall be done within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case the needful is not done, the interest amount shall further carry 9% interest to be paid to the landowners on account of default.”

and that pursuant to aforesaid order, payment as per entitlement has been released to the petitioners, therefore, the petitioners do not wish to press the contempt petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty

to challenge the calculations regarding payment made to the petitioners, in case the circumstances of the case, so warrant.

5. Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab appearing on behalf of the respondent states that he has no objection if the contempt petition is withdrawn.

6. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the contempt petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty, as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

November 10, 2020
'Ps-Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No