VIMAL KUMAR
2020.11.10 19:20

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9310-2020
Date of Decision: 10.11.2020

Renu Arora & another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Ms. Renu Arora, Advocate, for the petitioners.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have approached this Court seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect lives, liberty, dignity and reputation of the petitioners and all other members of their family from respondents No.4 to 17.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that they have falsely been implicated in FIR No.84 dated 22.05.2020 registered at Police Station Navi Baradari, Jalandhar under Sections 417, 465, 466, 471, 120-B and 201 IPC as well as in FIR No.124 dated 21.12.2019 registered at Police Station Division No.4 Police Commissionerate Jalandhar under Sections 354-D, 500, 506, 509, 120-B IPC & Section 67-B of the IT Act and that respondents No.4 to 15 are now sending police to their homes and also to the work places on account of which the petitioners have been humiliated and their reputation has seriously been affected.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners. Pursuant to the

lodging of the FIRs, the police is expected to enquire and investigate into the matter and to take the FIRs to some logical conclusion and to submit final report upon conclusion of the FIRs. Needless to mention that in such eventuality the accused nominated in the FIRs would require to be questioned and interrogated. As such, at this stage, this Court would not issue any such direction in respect of FIRs in question which could hamper investigation of the matter.

4. The petition, as such, is sans merit and the same is hereby dismissed.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

10.11.2020
Vimal

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**