

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(117)

**CRWP-9338-2020**

**Date of decision: 12.11.2020**

**Sony Kaur and another**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present:- Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

...

**KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)**

Case has been heard through video conferencing on account of  
COVID-19 Pandemic.

This petition has been filed by the petitioners under Article 226 of  
the Constitution of India with direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect  
their lives and liberty from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 12.

Admittedly, petitioner No.1 is legally wedded wife of respondent  
No.4 and after leaving him, now she has started living with petitioner No.2  
till date. The marriage of petitioner No.1 and respondent No.4 has not been  
dissolved so far.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf  
of respondents No. 1 to 3.

The aforesaid relationship between the petitioners cannot be  
termed as live-in-relationship, reason being the marriage of petitioner No.1

Learned counsel for the petitioners is relying upon judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case of ***Joseph Shine Versus Union of India, 2018 (2) RCR (Civil) 899***. But the petitioners cannot take the benefit of the said judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

In the light of the above, the petition is disposed of being not maintainable. However, in case, the petitioners have already moved any representation with regard to protection of their lives and liberty from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 12, respondent No.2 may look into the same and to do the needful, in case, there is any threat perception to them.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)  
JUDGE

12.11.2020

Pardeep

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No