

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-36699-2020
Date of decision: 23.11.2020**

Nishan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. D. S. Pheruman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 57 dated 13.03.2018, registered under Sections 307/323/506/148/149 of the IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

The operative part of the order dated 09.11.2020, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the only role attributed to the petitioner is that he took out two cartridges from the belt and handed over the same to his father Fateh Singh. Co-accused Parvesh Kaur has already been granted concession of anticipatory vide order dated 21.8.2020 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M No.23497 of 2020. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to join investigation.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 09.11.2020, has already appeared before the

SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Mukhtiar Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 09.11.2020, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

23.11.2020

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No