

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

212/A

Civil Writ Petition No.26074 of 2016
Date of Decision: March 12th, 2020

Sadhu Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ambika Bedi, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have approached this Court praying for exercising of fresh option as per the claim made by them as per the Punjab Government instructions relying upon the judgment of this Court in *CWP No.7464 of 2009* titled as *Surinder Kumar and others Versus State of Punjab and others*, decided on 25.02.2010 (Annexure P-6) and other judgments/orders passed by this Court. The claim of the petitioners was pending with the respondents, however, in the reply which has been filed by the respondents, their claim is being sought to be disputed on the basis of the instructions issued by the Government of Punjab on 25.10.2012 (Annexure P-10) as also the judgment passed by this Court in *CWP No.13604 of 2014* titled as *Bal Krishan Versus State of Punjab and others*, decided on 16.07.2014. This aspect has been duly considered by this Court in *CWP No.19361 of 2013* titled as *Madan Lal Versus State of Punjab and others*, which has been decided today.

2. In the light of the reasons assigned therein, the present writ petition deserves to be allowed in same terms as in Surinder Kumar's

case (supra).

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. Directions are issued to the respondents to grant the benefit to the petitioners after considering the same in the light of the aforesaid judgment of this Court in Surinder Kumar's case (supra) within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

March 12th, 2020

Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No