

(211) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision : 12.03.2020

1. CWP No.3347 of 2014 (O&M)

Dr. Khushi Ram

.... Petitioner

Versus

Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agriculture
University, Hisar and another

.... Respondents

2. CWP No.3352 of 2014 (O&M)

Dr. Mangat Ram

.... Petitioner

Versus

Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agriculture
University, Hisar and another

.... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Rajnish Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. S.S. Walia, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral).

[1] This order shall decide CWP No.3347 of 2014 and CWP No.3352 of 2014 as point in issue in both cases is identical. Facts of the case are being taken from CWP No.3347 of 2014.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the challenge in the writ petition is to order, Annexure P/6 dated 24.09.2012 vide which the claim of the petitioner for stepping up of pay has been declined without taking into account the factual and legal aspect of the matter. Prayer is also

for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to allow stepping up of pay to the petitioner at par with other counterparts i.e. Dr. Rajender Kumar Godara on the principle of parity.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) prays for permission to place certain additional documents on record which according to him were not taken into account by the respondents while passing the impugned order, Annexure P/6.

[4] Learned counsel for the respondents states that in the circumstances, in case the petitioner(s) submits a comprehensive representation along with the material which is claimed to not have been taken into account, the respondents shall consider and take a decision in respect thereto in accordance with law.

[5] The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.

[6] In view of the position as noted above, the writ petitions are permitted to be withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner(s) to submit comprehensive representation along with the material relied upon. In case, any such representation is submitted, the same be considered and decided by respondent No.2 in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter in view of the stand of learned counsel for the respondents as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three months of submissions of representation.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

12.03.2020
amit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No.