

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-20692-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.03.2020

Abhishek Yadav

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Abhishek Yadav has filed the present writ petition *inter alia* with a prayer to quash the order dated 06.07.2018 (Annexure P-9). In the order dated 06.07.2018, the Competent Authority has observed as under:-

xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx

"It is corect that he has been acquitted in the criminal case registered against him, but he failed to mention the true facts of the case registered against him in application form as well as Column No.13(1) of the Attestation form filled up by him for verification of Character and Antecedents, hence the concealment of true facts debar him for appointment as Constable under rule 12.18 (2) of PPR. Moreover, Section 307 falls under moral turpitude. Police personnel have the responsibility to give protection to general public and specifically to weaker section of society including the Women, Children and elderly people. A person who has remained involved in attempt to murder like serious offence involving moral turpitude before entering police service cannot be trusted with the responsibility of protecting the general public.

In case the department decides to employ him and he again indulges in such activity, the police department will have a loss of face and its image will go down drastically in the eyes of general public.

In view of the above, the representationist should not be allowed to wear police uniform and subsequently sully the image of Police Department. Accordingly, his claim for allotment of constabulary number is devoid of merit and hence rejected.

A copy of this order shall be supplied to the representationist through SP/Alwar, free of cost, under proper receipt.”

This Court vide judgment dated 04.03.2020 has decided the same/similar controversy in **CWP-17084-2019** titled as **Suresh Kumar vs. State of Haryana and others**. The present writ petition is also disposed of in view of the judgment passed in **CWP-17084-2019**.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

05.03.2020
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No