

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-18979-2020

Date of decision : 10.11.2020

Ram Kanwar and another

...PETITIONERS

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

This civil writ petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of a direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider and provide access/approach/passage to the petitioners' school-MDS Public High School, Gharauli Khurd, District Gurugram with a further direction to the respondents to take decision on the representations of petitioner No.1 dated 03.10.2018 (Annexure P-25), 18.06.2019 (Annexure P-26), 21.10.2020 (Annexure P-30) and 26.10.2020 (Annexure P-31).

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 26.10.2020 (Annexure P-31) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has already been handed over to learned State counsel.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Managing Director, The Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd. (HSIIDC) to consider and decide the representation dated 26.10.2020 (Annexure P-31) within eight weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of eight weeks thereafter, in accordance with law. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

10.11.2020

jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No