

CWP-18814-2020

Date of decision: 09.11.2020

Mushlim Khan

.....Petitioner

versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran
Nigam Limited and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH**Present:** Mr. R.K. Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.**FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19, the instant case is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioner has invoked the jurisdiction of this Court in terms of Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking prayer by way of writ of *mandamus* for issuance of directions to the respondents to decide the revision petition dated 05.08.2011 (Annexure P-5) since despite filing of mercy petition (Annexure P-7) and legal notice (Annexure P11), the revision petition is still lying pending with the respondents since 03.08.2011.

Notice to the official respondents-State.

Ms. Deepa Singh, Addl.A.G., Haryana has put in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents-State. Copy supplied.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner was working as Meter Reader with Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam to the satisfaction of respondents since 13.10.1993 and was served with a charge sheet dated 15.11.2002 for the allegation that he failed to bring the actual readings for a period of 07 months of Account No. JSP-109 in Village Piproli from 3/2001 to 10/2001 and caused financial loss to the Nigam. He further submits that on departmental inquiry, the competent authority came to the conclusion that the charges are proved against the petitioner and his services were terminated and due to inaction on the matter, the petitioner is suffering huge financial loss and thus, seeking present relief.

Upon hearing learned counsel of both the sides and on perusal of the records, the present petition stands disposed off with the directions to the official respondents to consider the legal notice dated 11.06.2019 and 02.03.2020 (Annexures P-11 and P-12) as representation, moved by the petitioner and decide the same by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed off accordingly.

09.11.2020
Neha

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No