

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CWP-18736-2020

Date of Decision:06.11.2020

Prem Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

**Present: Mr. Inder Pal Goyat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Petitioner has filed the present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for the issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus, so as to direct respondent No.3 to carry out the necessary correction in the Registration Certificate(RC) of Bus No.HR73A-6071 to the effect that sitting capacity of the bus be entered as 34('32+2') in place of 31('30+1') as the petitioner has already got his bus modified and now it has 34 seater capacity(i.e. 32+2 seats).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the relief claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 22.06.2020(Annexure P-12). However, no decision thereon has been taken by the respondents so far and the petitioner is suffering adversely and consistently. Moreover, the route permit of the bus

was for a period of one year, whereas six months have already been expired

and, in case, the respondents are not directed to decide the case finally, the petitioner would suffer adversely.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Ms. Ranjana Shahi, Addl. A.G., Haryana, has put in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

Heard.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, but noticing the fact that for the relief claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 22.06.2020 (Annexure P-12) and the same is pending consideration before respondent No.3, the present writ petition is disposed of, at this stage, with a direction to respondent No.3 to take a final call on the representation dated 22.06.2020(Annexure P-12) submitted by the petitioner within a period of 1½ months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

However, it is made clear that, in case, respondent No.3 is required to take any further instructions/guidance from respondent No.2, it would be open for respondent No.3 to have such instructions/guidance.

November 06, 2020
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No