

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.9249 of 2020
Date of Decision:-16.11.2020**

Naranjan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Through Video Conferencing

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constituion of India with a prayer for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is a 75 years old man and respondent No.4 is his son. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that on 10th of September, 2020 the respondent No.4 forcibly entered into the house of the petitioner alongwith some other unknown persons and badly thrashed the petitioner and forced him to sign on some blank papers. Thereafter, the petitioner filed a

complaint to the police. He has further submitted that there is a constant threat and harassment to the petitioner as well as to his other family members to withdraw his complaint. He has further submitted that even respondent No.4 with the help of various unknown persons had tried to block his way and the petitioner cannot even go out from his house for buying medicines for himself or for his family members. He further submitted that the petitioner is living in the shadow of fear and threat and they do not even allow any of the relative to visit the petitioner. He has submitted that the petitioner has also filed a representation to the I.G.P. Patiala Zone, Patiala dated 20.9.2020 with a copy to SSP, Sangrur (Annexure P-1) but no action has been taken by the police in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Bhupinder Beniwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned State counsel states that he has no objection in case a direction is issued to the police authorities to decide the representation of the petitioner.

Having considered the totality of circumstances of the present case and after having heard learned counsel for the parties, the present petition can be disposed of at this stage.

Respondent No.3-SSP, Sangrur is directed to consider the representation (Annexure P-1) dated 20.9.2020 (although addressed to the I.G.P. Patiala Zone, Patiala) but copy had been given to the SSP, Sangrur and to analyse the threat perception to the petitioner in this regard. In case there exists a threat to the petitioner, then the SSP, Sangrur shall take such

further measures which are required in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

November 16, 2020
Vijay Asija

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No