

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-9250-2020
Date of decision: 03.12.2020**

Shalu Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court, by way of filing the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking protection to her life and liberty from the hands of respondent No. 4 and other police officials.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has given a complaint to Senior Superintendent of Police regarding misbehaviour by the police with her and her husband Deepak Kumar, however, the police is not taking any action.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in fact respondent No. 5 Gurjit Kaur, who is sister-in-law of the petitioner, was married to Jagtar Singh on 08.10.2020 and she was shunted out within a period of ten days on 17.10.2020. Thereafter, she had given a complaint to police on 18.10.2020, however, the matter was compromised as her in-laws gave an undertaking that they will take her back but again on 26.10.2020,

she made another complaint, upon which, an FIR has been registered against Jagtar Singh, Sukhdev Singh and Deepak Kumar, husband of the present petitioner.

Learned State counsel further submits that petitioner is the sister-in-law of respondent No. 5 Gurjit Kaur, therefore, just to create the evidence, the present petition has been filed, whereas the police has no mala fide against the petitioner and is conducting an inquiry on the complaint given by respondent No. 5.

In view of the above, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

03.12.2020

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No