

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36863-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.11.2020

Gagandeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. J.S. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

This is third petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, for grant of bail pending trial in a criminal case arising from FIR No.177 dated 11.12.2017 registered under Section 302, 307, 506, 379-B, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, and Section 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar Rural.

First application was dismissed on 11.09.2019 with the following order:-

“Petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in FIR No.177, dated 11.12.2017, registered under Sections 302/307/506/379-B/148/149 IPC and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar Rural.

Learned counsel for the State has pointed out that the

petitioner is involved in as many as 15 other cases including robbery/dacoity and attempt to murder.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to enlarge the petitioner on bail is made out.

Dismissed”

Second application for grant of bail was disposed of with the following order:-

“This is second petition filed by the petitioner for grant of regular bail pending trial in a criminal case arising from FIR No.177 dated 11.12.2017 registered under Sections 302, 307, 506, 379-B, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar Rural.

The first application for grant of bail to the petitioner was dismissed on 11.09.2019 with the following order:-

“Petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in FIR No.177, dated 11.12.2017, registered under Sections 302/307/506/379-B/148/149 IPC and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar Rural.

Learned counsel for the State has pointed out that the petitioner is involved in as many as 15 other cases including robbery/dacoity and attempt to murder.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to enlarge the petitioner on bail is made out.

Dismissed.”

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court is not inclined to consider the request for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has also admitted

that the trial of the case has made substantial progress and the prosecution has already examined the main prosecution witnesses.

Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with a request to the learned trial Court to expeditiously conclude the trial.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the order passed in CRM-M-34878-2019 granting bail to co-accused Gurpreet Singh. The role of the petitioner as well as that of Gurpreet Singh is not at par.

Hence, no ground to grant bail to the petitioner is made out.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

18.11.2020

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No