

CRWP-9189-2020

Date of Decision: 06.11.2020

SURJIT SINGH

... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

It has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that an agreement to sell dated 16.12.2010 was executed between him and Manjit Singh. The petitioner had instituted a suit for specific performance against the successors-in-interest of Manjit Singh and the suit has been decreed vide judgment and decree dated 20.7.2015 by the Court of learned Civil Judge (Jr. Division), Dasuya. Thereafter, sale deed has been executed in favour of the petitioner and the possession was delivered during the course of execution proceedings. Thereafter, the petitioner is in settled possession of the property in question. The respondent Nos. 5 to 7, who are the successors-in-interest of Manjit Singh are threatening the petitioner and his family members and he is apprehending danger to his life and liberty at their instance. He has already submitted a representation dated 7.10.2020 (Annexure P-6) to respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

Mr. Davinderbir Singh, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Without commenting on the genuineness of allegations and merits

of the representation, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to

respondent No.3 to look into the grievance of the petitioner as projected in the representation (Annexure P-6) and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

06.11.2020

Janki

**(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No