

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-18713-2020
Date of Decision: 06.11.2020**

SUMER SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LIMITED

...Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Deepak Sibal

Present: Mr.Mohnish Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Deepak Manchanda, Additional AG Haryana

Deepak Sibal, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through Video Conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the representation dated 09.12.2019 (Annexure P-8), submitted by the petitioner.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the representation dated 09.12.2019 (Annexure P-8), submitted by the petitioner, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the

petitioner, preferably within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

06.11.2020
gk

(Deepak Sibal)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No