

CRM-M-37088-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37088-2020

Date of decision: 11.11.2020

Paramjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.95 dated 07.09.2019, registered at Police Station Kulgarhi, District Ferozepur, under Sections 302 and 34 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner being sister of the wife of deceased-Gurbachan Singh, has falsely been implicated in the present case; that main accused-Mewa Singh, who had allegedly given a fatal blow on the head of the deceased, has been in custody, and that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner. Moreover, there is a delay of three days in getting the above-noted FIR registered.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the record.

As per the prosecution version, it was the petitioner, who had called Gurbachan Singh (since deceased) to her house where Mewa Singh was already there; the deceased was caught hold of by Pritam Singh and the

CRM-M-37088-2020

present petitioner, and Mewa Singh, father-in-law of the deceased, had caused injury on the head of the deceased by means of pestle (*Ghotna*).

Keeping in view the nature of the offence, but without discussing the facts of the case in minute details, this Court finds that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation. Even otherwise, the investigation is at its initial stage and the petitioner, if enlarged on bail, may stone-wall the investigation.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

11.11.2020

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No