

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M- 36707 of 2020

Date of Decision: 9.11.2020

Satbir Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: Mr.Nishant Raj Ghangas, Adfvocate for the petitioner

Mr.Gagandeep Singh Chhina, AAG, Haryana

Ashok Kumar Verma, J. (Oral)

The matter is being taken up through Video Conferencing/WhatsApp due to pandemic of COVID-19.

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in cse FIR No.75 dated 15.2.2020 under Section 409 of the IPC registered at P.S. Ganaur, District Sonipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that no offence under Section 409 of the IPC is made out against the petitioner. The petitioner is stated to be working as Salesman in Purkhas Primary Agriculture Cooperative Society Ltd., Purkhas. Learned counsel submits that due to sudden demise of his son, the petitioner became sick and remained missing from his village. In the absence of the petitioner, other officials of the said Cooperative Society have committed mischief and caused loss to the tune of Rs.19,19,824/-. He submits that the petitioner is not liable for that loss.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner embezzled hefty amount and committed criminal breach of trust

CRM M- 36707 of 2020

:2:

Zinc and 48 Bags Urea Pouch total costing of Rs.19,19,824/- were found deficient.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, keeping in view the seriousness of the offence and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the view that the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of bail.

Petition is dismissed.

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

9.11.2020
MFK

Whether speaking/reasoned

Ye/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No