

111

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9191-2020

Date of Decision: 06.11.2020

DEVRAJ

... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

It has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that respondent Nos. 7 to 9 had instituted a suit for permanent injunction against the petitioner and others. The suit was dismissed by the Court of learned Civil Judge (Jr. Division), Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri vide judgment and decree dated 12.12.2017. Despite the dismissal of the suit, the private respondents are threatening the petitioner and he is apprehending danger to his life and liberty at their instance. The petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 24.9.2020, Annexure P-3 to respondent No.2.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

Mr. Amrik Singh Narwal, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Without commenting on the genuineness of allegations and merits of the representation, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner as projected in the aforesaid representation and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

06.11.2020

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No