

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.32111 of 2019

Date of Decision:22.01.2020

Paras Banga and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Ms. Bhanvi Sood, Advocate for
Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pardeep Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

-. -

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

CRM No.32888 of 2019

Prayer in the application is for impleadment of Harender Kumar and Vijay Laxmi Banga as petitioners No.2 and 3 in the instant petition.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and Harender Kumar and Vijay Laxmi Banga are impleaded as petitioners No.2 and 3 for the purpose of adjudication of the instant appeal.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

CRM-M No.32111 of 2019

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.0072 dated 16.05.2016 registered under Sections 34, 406, 498-A Indian Penal Code at Police Station Women, Faridabad (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings

arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 06.06.2019 (Annexure P-2).

2. The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant on the allegations that the accused-petitioners harassed her on account of demand of dowry. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Faridabad stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

4. Learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

6. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After

considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

7. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0072 dated 16.05.2016 registered under Sections 34, 406, 498-A Indian Penal Code at Police Station Women, Faridabad (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

January 22, 2020
Pankaj*

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No