

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CRM-M-36383-2020

Date of decision: 06.11.2020

Mubina

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mohammad Arshad, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG, Haryana.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of lockdown due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Petitioner-Mubina, stated to be aged 35 years, has filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer to direct respondents No.2 to 4 to adhere to the representation dated 22.06.2020 and application dated 31.07.2020 (Annexures P-2 & P-3 respectively) and redress the grievances of the petitioner by taking appropriate action by ordering registration of FIR against respondents No.5 to 11 keeping in view the guidelines as laid down by hon'ble Supreme court of India in ***Lalita Kumari vs. Government of UP and others, AIR 2014 (SC) 187.***

Learned counsel for the petitioner, based on the pleadings in the petition, makes specific reference to Para 5 of the petition, to submit that on

21.06.2020, an alleged incident (details of which have been mentioned in Para 5 of the petition) had allegedly occurred.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the same/similar grievance as made in the petition, the petitioner had filed a representation dated 22.06.2020 (Annexure P-2) before The Station House Officer, Police Station, Bichhore District Nuh-Mewat.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

In view of the above, without commenting upon merits of the case, the present petition is **disposed of** with a direction that in case, the petitioner approaches the police authorities concerned, with above representation (Annexure P-2) or any other representation being filed before the Competent Authority concerned, the same shall be got examined by respondent No.4, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order, in accordance with law and necessary steps as may be warranted under the facts and circumstances of the case, be taken thereupon forthwith.

A copy of this order be sent by the Registry to respondent No.4, through e-mail or any other mode, so as to enable the said authority to do the needful expeditiously.

Ordered accordingly.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

06.11.2020

anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No