

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36514-2020(O&M)

Date of decision: 12.11.2020

Pritam @ Mania

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.Rahul Bhargava, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. AG, Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

This is third application for grant of bail pending trial by the petitioner in a criminal case arising from FIR No.42 dated 21.2.2018 registered under Section 302, 364, 148, 149 of IPC at Police Station, Kanina, District Mohindergarh.

The second petition for grant of bail filed by the petitioner was dismissed on 19.9.2019 with the following order:-

“This is the second bail application filed by the petitioner after the first was dismissed as withdrawn on 22.07.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that evidence of Sapna has been recorded who was declared hostile as she had not supported the case of the prosecution.

It has been noticed that when the application was filed before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, he had noticed that some material witnesses are yet to be examined.

In view of the aforesaid fact, this Court is not inclined to grant concession of bail to the petitioner. However, keeping in view the custody period of the petitioner, learned trial Court is requested to make a

sincere endeavour to conclude the trial within a period of six months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the case is now fixed for defence evidence as the prosecution has already concluded its evidence.

In view thereof, this Court is not inclined to consider the prayer for grant of bail at this stage.

Hence, dismissed.

12.11.2020
rekha

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No