

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

107

CWP-18717-2020

Date of Decision: 1.12.2020

M/s TRG Packaging Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd. and another

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH.**

PRESENT: Ms. Amrita Nagpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate for the respondents.

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Case has been heard through Video Conferencing on account of COVID-19 Pandemic.

Entire litigation stems from the fact that in response to the e-auction, petitioner made a bid for ₹ 2,15,000/-. As per the learned counsel, this was erroneous bid. There was inadvertent error in the bid as the amount to be paid was only ₹ 21,500/-.

Admittedly, thereafter the respondent-authorities conducted another auction.

Mr. Balyan who has put in appearance for Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd. (in short “the Corporation”) submits that in terms of Clause 3.4 of EMP-2015, 10% of the amount deposited has to be forfeited in case of non-acceptance of allotment.

He submits that the liberty be given to Corporation to act as per rules and to

forfeit the said amount. He further submits that rest of the amount which is due to the petitioner shall be refunded by the Corporation.

We find this offer reasonable. Under the circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the Corporation to forfeit the amount which was actually required to be paid as a bid money.

In view of above, challenge to letter dated 17.9.2020 (Annexure P-15) fails.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

December 1, 2020
gbs

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No