

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-9131-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:05.11.2020**

Bhupinder Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Instant petition has been filed seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

Counsel has been heard at length and pleadings on record have been perused.

Respondent No.4 is none other than the daughter-in-law of the petitioner. Apparently, the matrimonial alliance between son of the petitioner and respondent No.4 has run into rough weather. Son of the petitioner had already filed a divorce petition and which is pending. That apart, petitioner herself has initiated proceedings under the Domestic Violence Act against respondent No.4 i.e. her daughter-in-law.

The instant petition can only be viewed as an attempt to pressurize respondent No.4 pursuant to the strained relations.

Even otherwise, pleadings on record are insufficient for this Court to interfere any imminent threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

Confronted with a situation where this Court was not inclined to intervene, counsel prays for withdrawal of the petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

05.11.2020

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |