

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.32345 of 2019
Date of Decision:14.02.2020**

Ajmer Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. P.S. Hundal, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Satinder Kaur and duly assisted by learned counsel for the complainant states that in fact order dated 27.08.2019 was passed on a misrepresentation done by the petitioner. In fact agreement dated 19.02.2015 was executed between Ajmer Singh MD Akal Sahai and Jagir Singh (father of the complainant) and an amount of Rs.12,00,000/- was borrowed by Jagir Singh from IDBI Bank and the said amount was given to Ajmer Singh. In lieu of the aforesaid payment, Ajmer Singh agreed to give plot No.134

measuring 221.11 square yards to Jagir Singh in the PUDA approved residential colony to be developed in village Chahal, Tehsil Balachaur, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar. The aforesaid plot was agreed to be given to Jagir Singh as a security. Ajmer Singh also agreed to pay the aforesaid amount of Rs.12,00,000/- upto 10.04.2015.

Learned counsel for the complainant has also brought to the notice of the Court that the petitioner is having antecedent behaviour of criminal activities as number of cases are still pending against him. Even he has been declared as proclaimed offender in one of the case. Jagir Singh has already expired. Legal representative(s) of late Jagir Singh has already been brought on record.

Since there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner despite three pass-overs since morning, therefore, this Court has no alternative, but to dismiss the present petition for non-prosecution.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

14.02.2020

Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No