

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.9252 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:06.11.2020**

Kulwant Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

The instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of petitioners by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of private respondents No.5 to 10.

Counsel has been heard and case paper-book has been perused.

Petitioner No.1 is a lady aged 41 years and is a widow of late Jarnail Singh. Petitioner No.2 is the minor son of petitioner No.1.

Case projected on behalf of the petitioners is that after the death of husband of petitioner No.1, there is a plot situated at Mour Rampur Road, District Bathinda which is in the name of late husband and private respondents No.5 to 10 are wanting to grab such plot and have extended

threats to the petitioners. It is asserted that private respondents are the close relatives of the late husband of the petitioner No.1 and they would have no right upon the plot in question.

Perusal of a representation dated 25.08.2020 (Annexure P-2) which is stated to have been made by petitioner No.1 to the Director General of Police, Punjab, would reveal that a civil suit has already been instituted by petitioner No.1, pertaining to the plot in question.

Civil proceedings pertaining to the property as such are pending as of date.

Pleadings on record are wholly insufficient for this Court to infer any imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners. Instant petition can only be seen as a pressure tactic at the hands of petitioner No.1 against the private respondents with whom she is already engaged in civil proceedings.

No intervention is warranted.

Petition dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

November 06, 2020

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No