

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9098-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.11.2020

Tania Chawla and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amardeep Singh, Advocate for respondent Nos.4 and 5.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Through this Criminal Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, a newly married couple who claim to have married against the wishes of private respondents, seek protection of their life and liberty. Fortunately, Mr. Amardeep Singh, Advocate, has attended the hearing of the case and requested that respondent No.4 and 5, wish to once speak to petitioner No.1.

Keeping in view the aforesaid request, the petitioners and respondent No.4 and 5, were allowed to meet and talk at the Police Post located in the High Court premises.

In the post lunch session, learned counsel for respondent No.4 and 5, has made a statement that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners and they would not interfere in their married life.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present petition is disposed of.

04.11.2020

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No