

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 20780-2017 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 02.03.2020**

Shubh Ram

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA**

Present:- Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)**

Petitioner who is stated to be suffering from a disability element assessed at more than 70 per cent had filed the instant writ petition seeking a claim that he was entitled to enhanced age of retirement of 60 years as opposed to normal age of superannuation as 58 years.

Counsel for the petitioner informs the Court that by virtue of interim directions by this Court, the petitioner has served upto the enhanced age of superannuation i.e. 60 years.

In view of the above, nothing would survive for adjudication in the instant writ petition and the same is disposed of as infructuous.

At this stage, counsel would submit that salary for two months is outstanding.

If that be so it would be open for the petitioner to take out appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)  
JUDGE**

**March 02, 2020**

*shweta*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No