

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

106

**CWP-18972-2020(O&M)
Date of Order:10.11.2020**

RAMESH KUMAR

..Petitioner

Versus

**UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD.
AND OTHERS**

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Nitin Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conference on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for some time and realizing that the court is not inclined to entertain the writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, prays for permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the avail alternative remedy.

Ordered accordingly.

**November 10, 2020
nt**

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No