

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

105

CRM-M-36042-2020(O&M)
Date of Decision:05.11.2020

AMRISH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Dharam Bir Bhargav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

Hearing of the case was held through video conference on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in a criminal case arising from FIR No. 352, dated 28.06.2020, registered under Section 15(A)-1-14 Amendment Bill/Act, 2020 Excise Act, 63 & 65 of the Copyright Act, 1957 and Section 269/270/272/273/420/468/471/120-B, at Police Station Pehowa, District Kurukshetra.

As per the case of the prosecution, certain persons, named in the FIR, were running an illegal bottling plant/distillery to manufacture/bottle duplicate/fake liquor. As per the order passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, the *prima facie* involvement of the petitioner is established as he was in regular contact with co-accused Sunil @ Sillu, during the relevant period. Still further, there is another FIR No.174, dated 21.06.2020 with similar allegations, pending against the petitioner. Further, the petitioner was also in regular contact with Sanjay, who is yet to be traced.

Further this court has already dismissed a pre-arrest bail application of Ramesh Kumar-a co-accused with a detailed order.

In view thereof, this court does not find good ground to grant the concession of pre-arrest bail.

Dismissed.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

November 05, 2020
nt

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No