

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(116)

CWP-18412-2020

Date of decision: 04.11.2020

Rohit Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Bishan Dass Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to consider the representations dated 15.06.2020 and 15.10.2020 (Annexures P-7 and P-8) respectively and award marks for the two questions, answers of which are wrongly mentioned in the key by respondent No.2 – Haryana Staff Selection Commission.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State. He does not have any objection to the disposal of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation submitted by the petitioner.

Without examining the averments made in the writ petition or in the representations submitted by the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 15.10.2020 (Annexure P-8) submitted by the petitioner within a period of three weeks from today. In case the petitioner is found entitled to grant of more marks, the same be awarded and further action be taken in accordance with law.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

04.11.2020
Pardeep

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No