

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-2061 of 2017

Date of decision: 11.02.2020

Satwant Kaur Bedi

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioner is that she is entitled for fixation of her pay, keeping in view the ch order passed by this Court in CWP No.22422 of 2010 titled as **Anil Kumar and others vs. State of Punjab and others** decided on 16.05.2012.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that keeping in view the pleadings in the reply, the benefits as being sought by the petitioner has already been granted to her. Relevant paragraph-1 of the preliminary submissions and paragraph-4 of the reply on merits is as under:-

“1. As per provisions of Punjab Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules 1998, the petitioner had already been granted protected pay scale of 5000-8100 w.e.f 01.01.1996 as a personal measure alongwith consequential benefits.

4. That in reply to the para No.4 of the writ petition, it is stated that Government of Punjab notified pay scale of employees w.e.f 01.01.1996 through Punjab Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 1998. As per provisions of these rules, the equivalent of pay scale of 1500-2700 was revised to 5000-8100. Keeping in view second amendment to the aforesaid rules, there was bifurcation of pay scale of Junior Assistants. Accordingly, those junior assistant who were list prior to or on 01.01.1996 were granted the pay scale of 5000-8100 and those who were placed after 01.01.1996

got pay scale of 4400-7000. However, petitioner comes in the category of prior to 01.01.1996 and got pay scale of 5000-8100.”

There is no replication filed on behalf of the petitioner to the said written statement.

That being so, prayer of the petitioner as raised in the present writ petition has already been accepted and no further orders are required to be passed in the present writ petition

In view of the above, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner that in case she still has any grievance, she can approach the respondents, raising her claim, by filing an appropriate representation. In case any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the same will be disposed of by the respondents within a period of three months from the date of receipt of said representation.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

11.02.2020.
aarti

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |