

209/25

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 24094-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24th August, 2020

Satbir Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Dr. Surya Parkash, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Dr. S. Muralidhar, J.

1. In the writ petition, the prayer for declaration of deemed lapsing of land acquisition proceedings under Section 24 (2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 ('the 2013 Act') and/or for release of the land in question is required to be rejected in view of the judgment dated 6th March, 2020 of the Constitution Bench of the Supreme Court in *Indore Development Authority v. Manohar Lal and others etc.*, AIR 2020 SC 1496.

2. Dr. Surya Parkash, learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner states that the Petitioner desires to invoke Section 101-A of 'the 2013 Act' which is a provision exclusive to the State of Haryana inserted therein by way of an amendment.

3. Accordingly the writ petition is dismissed, and the status quo order, if any, is

vacated. It is clarified that if the Petitioner makes a representation invoking S. 101-A of the 2013 Act, it will be for the Respondent authorities to examine it and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law.

(S. MURALIDHAR)
JUDGE

24th August, 2020
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No