

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

CWP No.18362 of 2020(O&M)

Date of decision: 3.11.2020

Rajni Devi

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate for the petitioner.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The precise grievance of the petitioner is that she has not been allowed family pension as her husband Sh. Ram Kumar, Safai Sewak, working in Nagar Council, Sri Muktsar Sahib died in harness on 15.02.2015, though a request for grant of ex-gratia benefit has been allowed. He would inform that a representation dated 17.01.2019 made by the petitioner (copy whereof is Annexure P-1) has not invited attention of the concerned authority.

Without examining merits of claim of the petitioner, petition stands disposed of. The petitioner is directed to make a detailed representation with reference to relevant rules/notification etc. regarding her claim for family pension within a period of one month to respondents No.2 and 3. In case such a representation is made by the petitioner, respondent No.2 shall dispose of the same within a period of one month from the date of its receipt. Respondent No.2 would be at liberty to seek opinion/comments from an authority subordinate to it or approval, if any, required from a superior authority. The representation shall be decided by passing a speaking order. In case the petitioner would feel dissatisfied with

the decision taken by competent authority, she would be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate remedy in law.

NOVEMBER 3, 2020

‘D. Gulati’

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

:

:

(REKHA MITTAL)

JUDGE

yes/no

yes/no