

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

211

CWP No.23905 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision:24.02.2020

Meenakshi

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & Ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG Haryana
for the State.

Mr. Sunny Rawat, Advocate
for Mr. Amar Vivek, Advocate
for Respondent No.6.

Mr. Amit Rao, Advocate
for Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No.7-Pt. B.D. Sharma University.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner seeks migration from respondent No.3 to respondent No.4 or respondent No.6.

The case of the petitioner is that she deserves to be migrated to some other college as residents of the hostel in respondent No.3 are subjected to abuse and violence. The petitioner was mercilessly beaten by the hostel warden and some other students because of which a complaint dated 30.05.2016 was filed with the Superintendent of Police, Rohtak. Thereafter, an application for migration was made but no decision was taken thereupon and thus, the present writ petition was filed.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.7 submits that as per the rules in force, a student who clears the first year examination in the first attempt only can seek migration. The petitioner does not fall in that category and thus, it is not possible to accede to her request of migration.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has no answer to the aforementioned submission.

Thus, no interference is called for and the writ petition is dismissed.

February 24, 2020
NainaRajput

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No