

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35516-2020
Date of decision: 03.11.2020

Narinder Kumar alias Kala Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 87, dated 27.04.2020, registered under Section 384 IPC at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioner, along with co-accused Amritpal Singh @ Golu, approached complainant Lucky Kumar and said that the police party had raided his house four days ago when he fled away and, thereafter, both, petitioner and co-accused, came to his house and demanded Rs. 5,000/- on the pretext that he will be exonerated in that case, otherwise, he will be involved in some other case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and aforesaid co-accused has already been granted concession of regular bail.

Learned State counsel has opposed the bail on the ground that petitioner is involved in two more FIRs under the Excise Act and the

complainant has specifically named petitioner that he along with aforesaid co-accused extorted Rs. 5,000/- from him.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without making any comment on the merits of the case, considering the serious allegations against the petitioner, I find no ground to grant him concession of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

03.11.2020

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No