

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA  
2020/11/18 10:41  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-35953-2020

Date of decision : 9.11.2020

Mohit

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate  
for petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, DAG Haryana.

-.-

-.-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The case is taken up through video conferencing on account of COVID 2019.

This is a petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of bail in case FIR No. 249, dated 13.7.2020, registered under Sections 307, 34 IPC and under Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sadar Gohana.

FIR was registered on the statement of Harpal alias Jony stating that on 30.7.2020 at about 2.00 pm, when he was going to his house, petitioner alongwith three other persons came on motorcycle. One of them was carrying a pistol. Petitioner was having previous enmity with complainant and his brother. After enquiring from complainant about whereabouts of Ravi, petitioner asked other boys to open fire. The complainant started running away from spot. One of boys fired gunshots towards him. The shot however missed him.

Learned counsel for petitioner states that petitioner is a student aged about 19 years. He has been in custody for more than 3 months. No recovery is effected from petitioner. The investigation of case is complete, challan has been presented and charges are to be framed.

Without commenting anything on merit of case and also bearing in mind the fact that petitioner is in custody for more than 3 months and trial of case has not made any headway because of prevailing COVID 2019, no useful purpose will be served by detaining petitioner behind bars. Accordingly, petition is allowed. Petitioner Mohit is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail

CRM-M-35953-2020

-2-  
SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA  
2020.11.18 10:41  
Reflects the state of the  
authenticity of this document

and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)  
JUDGE

9.11.2020

sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No