

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-20244-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.2.2020**

Association of HUDA Plot Holders Sectors 77 and 78 Faridabad

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Soumya Roop Sanyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate,
for the respondent-HSVP/HUDA.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has posed a challenge to the demand notice whereby additional price is sought by the HUDA on account of enhancement in the compensation for development of Sectors 77 & 78, Urban Estate, Faridabad.

At the outset, learned counsel appearing for HUDA submits that the amount has been recalculated in view of revised policy dated 22.08.2019. *Ipsa facto* the demand notice already issued would stand withdrawn.

In view of statement made by learned counsel for HUDA, no cause of action survives in this petition. Same is hereby disposed of.

Needless to observe that the petitioner shall be at liberty to file afresh in case any grouse subsists after *de novo* exercise is completed by the HUDA.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

February 25, 2020
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No