

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 18750 of 2020**

**Date of decision: 06.11.2020.**

Umed Singh

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)**

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner has sought a direction to respondent No. 4 not to interfere in the proceedings initiated by the petitioner under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 ('Act of 2007' - for short).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has initiated the proceedings under the Act of 2007 against respondent No. 5, who is his daughter-in-law, and respondent No. 4 - Gram Panchayat, Village Atawla has been trying to exert undue pressure on the petitioner to withdraw the proceedings and he has also been penalized to pay a fine of ₹1/-.

Heard.

It is stated in the petition that the son of the petitioner had unfortunately expired and is survived by his widow, who has been impleaded as respondent No. 5. After the death of the son of the petitioner and the

husband of respondent No. 5, differences appear to have been cropped up between the parties. The petitioner is stated to have started proceedings under the Act of 2007 while respondent No. 5 is stated to have instituted a complaint under the Domestic Violence Act, 2005. The parties have chosen to seek recourse to the remedies available to them under the law. The allegation that the Gram Panchayat is interfering in the matter and exerting pressure on the petitioner to withdraw his case appears to be vague and unsubstantiated.

In view of the above, no ground is made out to issue direction, as prayed for.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

06.11.2020  
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No