

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20561-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 02.12.2020

Baldev Singh

...Petitioner (s)

Versus

The Punjab Pollution Control Board, Patiala and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Dinesh Ghai, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court)

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner for issuing a direction to respondents No. 1 to 4 to restrain respondent No. 5 from running the activity of storing stubble (Tudi Ka Taal) in the residential area and shifting the same to some other place as the loading and unloading thereof causes trouble to the petitioner as well as other residents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent-authorities have already passed an order dated 31.07.2020 (Annexure P-9), whereby respondent No. 5 has been directed to remove the stubble from the site within five days or make necessary arrangements to stop the suspended particles from entering the neighbouring houses and to prevent the traffic problem, but respondent No. 5 is not implementing it or taking necessary steps in this regard.

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, appearing for respondents No. 1 to 4, on advance copy undertakes to inform the respondent-authorities to ensure compliance of the order dated 31.07.2020 (Annexure P-9).

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned State counsel

AMODH SHARMA for respondents No. 1 to 4, we do not find any reason to entertain the present
2020.12.08 15:32
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

petition which is disposed of taking the statement of learned State counsel for respondents No. 1 to 4 on record. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and, therefore, the authorities would be at liberty to examine the matter keeping all facts and facets into consideration and in accordance with law.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

02.12.2020
Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No