

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35680-2020(O&M)

Date of decision : 06.11.2020

Paramjeet @ Monu

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr.Sukhcharan Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Petitioner seeks regular bail pending trial in FIR No.12 dated 17.01.2018, under Sections 379B/394/34 IPC and Section 25/54/59 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Bond Kalan, District Charkhi Dadri.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

FIR came to be registered on the statement of Sanjay who was working as a salesman at a petrol pump. Allegations are that on 17.01.2018 at about 7.30 p.m., two boys came on a motor cycle with muffled faces on the pretext of getting petrol filled up. At that point of time on the threat of a fire arm and having hit the butt of a pistol on the head of the complainant

one of the boys snatched Rs.15,000/- as also the mobile phone. Thereafter

keys of the *Tijori* were demanded and upon finding the *Tijori* to be empty the complainant was again hit with the butt of the pistol on his head. Complainant further asserted that the entire incident had been witnessed by another salesman namely Kuldeep.

Petitioner was arrested on 24.01.2018.

A recovery of Rs.7300/- is stated to have been effected from him.

Material witnesses i.e. complainant Sanjay (PW2) as also the other witness namely Kuldeep (PW3) have already been examined before the trial Court and they have not identified the petitioner.

Petitioner has already faced incarceration for a period in excess of 2 years and 9 months.

Co-accused Naresh alias Langra has been granted benefit of bail vide order dated 14.01.2020 by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Charkhi Dadri.

In view of the above petitioner is held entitled to the benefit of bail.

Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

06.11.2020
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No