

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.112

CRM-M-35576-2020
Date of decision : 3.11.2020

Natasha Puri

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that on account of some business dispute of her husband with the private respondents, she is unnecessarily being harassed and threatened. Despite representation dated 7.9.2020 (Annexure P-1) having been submitted before respondent No.2, no relief has been granted to her and she still fears for her life.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 and 2 only.

Mr. R.S. Thind, DAG, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 and waives service.

In view of the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to call upon respondent Nos.3 to 10, at this stage nor filing of reply is necessary.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to pass an appropriate order on representation dated 7.9.2020 (Annexure P-1), in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

3.11.2020

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No