

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

206

1.

Civil Writ Petition No.27824 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 30th, 2020
- Tilak Raj and others

...Petitioners
- Versus
- State of Punjab and others

...Respondents
2.

Civil Writ Petition No.100 of 2014
- Madan Mohan and others

...Petitioners
- Versus
- State of Punjab and others

...Respondents
3.

Civil Writ Petition No.169 of 2014 (O&M)
- Kewal Krishan and others

...Petitioners
- Versus
- State of Punjab and others

...Respondents
4.

Civil Writ Petition No.179 of 2014 (O&M)
- Tarsem Singh

...Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Punjab and others

...Respondents
5.

Civil Writ Petition No.333 of 2014 (O&M)
- Sham Lal

...Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Punjab and others

...Respondents
6.

Civil Writ Petition No.4401 of 2014
- Krishan Datt

...Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

7. Civil Writ Petition No.6591 of 2014 (O&M)

Heavy Earth Moving Machinery Operator Union and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Gurmanpreet Singh, Advocate
for Mr. R.S. Manhas, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CWP Nos.27824 of 2013 and 100 of 2014).

Mr. Tahaf Bains, Advocate
for Ms. Jagdeep Bains, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CWP Nos.169 and 179 of 2014).

Ms. Alka Chatrath and Ms. Daljit Kaur, Advocates
for the petitioners (in CWP No.6591 of 2014).

Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP No.333 of 2014).

Mr. A.D.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP No.4401 of 2014)

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for B.B.M.B. (in CWP Nos.169, 179 and 333 of 2014).

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Costs as imposed by this Court stand already paid/deposited.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Mr. B.S. Bhullar, L.A. office of Executive Engineer, Personnel Division, makes a statement that all these writ petitions have been rendered infructuous as the petitioners, who were declared surplus, stand adjusted.

In view of the above statement, these writ petitions are disposed of as infructuous.

In the light of the disposal of the writ petitions, all pending applications stand disposed of as infructuous.

January 30th, 2020

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No