

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.
107

CRWP-8993 of 2020

Date of decision:17.12.2020

Sandeep Kumar

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: MK.S.Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner
Mr.B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

Notice of motion having been issued, a reply has been filed on behalf of the respondent-State stating therein that in fact the matter has been compromised by the petitioner with respondent no.6, i.e. his wife, who has returned home and in fact the allegations themselves were not found to be true.

Mr.Dadwal, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that even as per his instructions, respondent no.6 has returned home and therefore in view of the reply filed, the petition may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

17.12.2020

pk

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No