

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

228

CRM-M-36018-2020

Date of Decision:11.11.2020

Sunny alias Lala

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.29 dated 07.03.2020 under Sections 326-A, 341, 506 IPC (the offences punishable under Sections 354-A, 354-D, 307 IPC were added later on), registered at Police Station Nurpurbedi, District Rupnagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is in custody since 08.03.2020. Challan has been presented on 01.06.2020, whereas charges in the case are yet to be framed. He submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties. He refers to compromise dated 23.07.2020(Annexure P-2) so entered between the parties. He further submits that the matter having been compromised between the parties,

petitioner has filed a petition bearing No.**CRM-M-34958-2020 (Sunny alias Lala Versus State of Punjab and another)** for quashing of FIR, in question, on the basis of compromise, wherein notice of motion has been issued for 14.01.2021.

Learned State Counsel does not dispute the custody period of the petitioner.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner is in custody since 08.03.2020 and the matter is stated to have been compromised between the parties vide compromise dated 23.07.2020(Annexure P-2). Further, the petition bearing CRM-M-34958-2020 seeking quashing of FIR, in question, on the basis of compromise has been filed by the petitioner, wherein notice of motion has been issued for 14.01.2021. In view of COVID-19 pandemic, trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future. Thus, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

November 11, 2020
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No