

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.211

CWP-18526-2020

Date of Decision : 23.12.2020

Laxmi and another

....Petitioners

Versus

District Magistrate Ludhiana and another

....Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. K.K. Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.2-Canara Bank.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

On 4th November, 2020, the following order was passed:-

“The petitioners have challenged the action of the bank in invoking SARFAESI proceedings under the SARFAESI Act. As per the Bank, the petitioner has obtained a house loan of Rs.22 lacs and is residing in that house. They have paid the amount of Rs.11 lacs but there has been a default on account of COVID-19 and now as per order Annexure P-4, a sum of approximately Rs.24 lacs was stated to be due on 17.3.2020.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is ready to settle the account to show his bona fide by depositing a sum of Rs.7.5 lacs by 30.11.2020 and another Rs.7.5 lacs by 31.12.2020.

Notice of motion for 06.11.2020.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. K.K. Goel, Advocate, who is on the panel of Canara Bank, accepts notice and prays for time to have instructions.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to supply a email copy of the petition today itself to the learned counsel for the Canara Bank.”

Thereafter on 21st December, 2020, the following order was passed:-

“None has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

Counsel for respondent No.2 states that order dated 4.11.2020 has not been complied with.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 23.12.2020.”

Even today, none is present on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioners.

In the circumstances, petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

December 23, 2020
m.sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No