

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**205**

**CRM-M-31313-2019  
Date of decision: 10.01.2020**

Nitin Saini

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI**

Present : Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)**

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short, "Cr.P.C") is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.199 dated 19.05.2019 registered under Section 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 at Police Station Azad Nagar, District Hisar.

While issuing notice of motion on 24.07.2019, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner with direction to join the investigation.

Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and opposed the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner has

joined the investigation. Nothing is to be recovered from him and his custodial interrogation is not required.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the investigating officer, has acknowledged that in compliance with order dated 24.07.2019 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and submitted that his custodial interrogation is not required for effecting any further recovery.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required in the case but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the considered view that the petitioner deserves the concession of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, the petition is allowed and order dated 24.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation again if and as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions enumerated in Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C., failing which the protection of anticipatory bail order shall not be available to him.

**10.01.2020**

Vinay

**(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No