

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35264-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.11.2020

Pinki

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. directing respondent No.2 and 3 to undertake fair and proper investigation into the complaint filed by the petitioner.

It has been alleged that the Police did not record her complaint correctly and the offence alleged by the petitioner was diluted by the Police intentionally.

On a Court question, learned counsel for the petitioner admitted that the petitioner does have a remedy of filing a criminal complaint before the Magistrate of the area.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court does not find it appropriate to exercise jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C. particularly when the petitioner has equally efficacious remedy of filing a criminal complaint before the Magistrate. Hence, the petitioner is relegated to the alternative remedy.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of.

02.11.2020

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No