

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18149 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.10.2020

Brahm Parkash

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

By way of filing the instant civil writ petition, petitioner Brahm Prakash, aged about 60 years, who had retired as Safai Sewak from Nagar Council, Sri Muktsar Sahib on 30.04.2020 craves for issuance of a direction to the respondents i.e. State of Punjab and 02 others to prepare the pension case of the petitioner and fix his pension at the earliest besides claiming interest @ 18% p.a., on delayed payment.

The petitioner is relying upon judgment passed by Full Bench of this Court in case **A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab 1997(3) SCT 468.**

Notice of motion.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondents to take

necessary action on the representation dated 02.07.2020 (Annexure P-2) submitted by him before filing of the instant writ petition in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel states that the respondents would abide by any direction issued by this Court in that regard.

Thus, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and to enable the respondents to apply their mind and take decision in the matter and further to avoid unnecessary litigation between the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of, directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner as contained in representation dated 02.07.2020 (Annexure P2) as per law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. However, since the petition is not being decided on merits, if after disposal of his representation by the respondents, the petitioner still feels dissatisfied, he may take recourse to the legal remedy in accordance with law.

30.10.2020

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No