

Golden Organic Private Limited

.... Petitioner

Versus

Alok Shekhar

... Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Learned counsel contends that although in terms of order of this Court dated 16.09.2014 in CWP No.10230 of 2011 and other connected cases subsidy amount has been paid to the petitioner, yet interest on the said amount @ 8% per annum with effect from the date of entitlement has not been released.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from Mr. Ravinder Singh, Supdt., Office of Director, Industries and Commerce Department, Punjab states that earlier decision had been taken to file LPA against the direction to make payment of interest but now decision has been taken not to file LPA and in case four weeks' time is granted, interest would be paid in terms of order of the Writ Court.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in case interest is paid to the petitioner in terms of order of the writ Court dated 16.09.2014 as

from Mr. Ravinder Singh, Supdt., Office of Director, Industries and Commerce Department, Punjab within the time stipulated by this Court, he may be permitted to withdraw the contempt petition at this stage while granting liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the contempt petition if the circumstances of the case so warrant.

5. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the contempt petition is disposed of by directing the respondent to release payment on account of interest as per entitlement of the petitioner in terms of direction of the Writ Court dated 16.09.2014 in CWP No.10230 of 2011 and other connected cases besides order dated 21.05.2019 in CWP No.9628 of 2016 as per assurance held out by Mr. Ravinder Singh, Supdt., Office of Director, Industries and Commerce Department, Punjab through learned State Counsel within four weeks from today. However, it is made clear that in case the needful is not done within the stipulated period of time, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

November 10, 2020

'Amit-Rajesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>